

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 || In Re

9 | MALINKA MOYE,

Plaintiff.

No. C-14-2533 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2534 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2535 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2536 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2537 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2786 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2787 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2788 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2790 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2791 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2792 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2793 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2794 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2859 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2877 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-2878 EMC (pr)
No. C-14-3235 EMC (pr)

ORDER REVOKING PAUPER STATUS FOR APPEALS

19 The Ninth Circuit has requested this Court in at least one of these many actions from
20 Plaintiff to determine whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue for Plaintiff on appeal or
21 whether the appeal is frivolous or not taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). The Court
22 now certifies that the appeals are not taken in good faith and revokes *in forma pauperis* status for
23 Plaintiff on appeal in these actions.

24 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 | Dated: November 14, 2014


EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge